arXiv:1406.2993v2 [math.GR] 23 Jul 2014

CONE TOPOLOGIES OF PARATOPOLOGICAL GROUPS

ALEX RAVSKY

Abstract. We introduce so-called cone topologies of paratopological groups, which are a wide way to construct counterexamples, especially of examples of compact-like paratopological groups with discontinuous inversion. We found a simple interplay between the algebraic properties of a basic cone subsemigroup S of a group G and compact-like properties of two basic semigroup topologies generated by S on the group G.

1. Introduction

Let G be a group endowed with a topology τ. A pair (G,τ) is called a *paratopological group* provided the multiplication map $\cdot : G\times G\to G$ is continuous. In this case the topology τ is called a *semigroup topology* on G. Moreover, if the inversion $(\cdot)^{-1}: G\to G$ is continuous with respect to the topology τ, then (G,τ) is a *topological group*.

Properties of paratopological groups, their similarity and difference to properties of topological groups, are described in book [ArhTka] by Alexander V. Arhangel'skii and Mikhail G. Tkachenko, in author's PhD thesis [Rav3] and papers [Rav], [Rav2]. New Mikhail Tkachenko's survey [Tka2] exposes recent advances in this area.

A standard example of a paratopological group failing to be a topological group is the Sorgenfrey line, that is the real line endowed with the Sorgenfrey topology (generated by the base consisting of half-intervals $[a,b)$, $a<b$).

Author's experience suggests that counterexamples play an essential role in the development of the theory of paratopological groups. In topology and topological algebra have already been used a so-called cone topology or its variations to produce various pathological counterexamples, (see, for instance [Ban], [BanRav] [Rav], [Rav2] or [Tod]).

One of ways to obtain the examples is to take a topological group (G,τ) and a subsemigroup $S\ni e$ of the group G such that $g^{-1}Sg\subset S$ for each element $g\in G$. The we can endow the group G with cone semigroup topology τ_S consisting of sets $U\subset G$ such that for each point $x\in U$ there is a neighborhood $W\in\tau$ of e such that $x(W\cap S)\subset U$.

A particular case of this construction is when G is a real linear space and S is a cone of G, that is a subset of G such that $\lambda x+\mu y\in S$ for each elements $x,y\in S$ and each non-negative reals λ,μ, which explains the term "cone topology". Sorgenfrey topology on the real line $\mathbb{R}$ is a cone topology τ_S on $\mathbb{R}$ for a cone S of non-negative reals.

When the topology τ is antidiscrete, we shall denote the group G endowed with the topology τ_S by G_S.

Let τ be a semigroup topology on the group G. The identity map from (G,τ_S) to G_S is continuous. So if a topological property $\mathcal{P}$ is preserved by continuous isomorphisms (for instance, compactness, countably compactness, pseudocompactness) and we wish the

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22A15, 54H99,54H11.

Key words and phrases. paratopological group, continuity of the inverse, totally countably compact paratopological group, countably compact paratopological group, 2-pseudocompact paratopological group, topologically periodic paratopological group, periodic paratopological group, pseudocompact topological group, countably compact topological group, countably pracompact space.

group (G, τ_S) to have the property $\mathcal{P}$, then we must to assure the group G_S to have the property $\mathcal{P}$ too.

So we start from the simple case when the topology τ is antidiscrete. As expected, in this basic case cone topologies has very weak separation properties, and even T_1 or T_2 cases are degenerated, see Section 3. But non-Hausdorfness of these constructions will be compensated by Hausdorff topology τ in Section 4.

It turned out that there is a simple interplay between the algebraic properties of the semigroup S and properties of two basic semigroup topologies generated by S on the group G, see Section 3.

The choice of investigated by as topological properties $\mathcal{P}$ has the following motivation.

It turned out that the inversion on a paratopological group automatically is continuous under some topological conditions. The search and the investigation of these conditions is one of main branches in the theory of paratopological groups and it has a long history, especially related with compact-like properties (see the next section). This branch still is growing by efforts of people throughout the world. An interested reader can find known results on this subject, for instance, in [AlaSan, Introduction], in the survey [Rav3, Section 5.1], in Section 3 of the survey [Tka2] and in a recent paper [Rav6].

The present paper is the second, somewhat updated, part of the authors preprint [Rav7] which grew too large and will be split into several articles.

2. Definitions

Now it is the time and the place to recall the definitions of the used notions.

In this paper the word "space" means "topological space".

Conditions which are close to compactness. In general topology an essential role play compact spaces which have nice properties. These properties are so nice that even spaces satisfying slightly weaker conditions are still useful. We recall that a space X is called

- *sequentially compact* if each sequence of X contains a convergent subsequence,
- *ω-bounded* if each countable subset of X has the compact closure,
- *totally countably compact* if each sequence of X contains a subsequence with the compact closure,
- *countably compact at a subset A* of X if each infinite subset B of A has an accumulation point x in the space X (the latter means that each neighborhood of x contains infinitely many points of the set B),
- *countably compact* if X is countably compact at itself,
- *countably pracompact* if X is countably compact at a dense subset of X,
- *pseudocompact* if each locally finite family of nonempty open subsets of the space X is finite,
- *finally compact* if each open cover of X has a countable subcover.

The following inclusions hold.

- Each compact space is ω-bounded.
- Each ω-bounded space is totally countably compact.
- Each totally countably compact space is countably compact.
- Each sequentially compact space is countably compact.
- Each countably compact space is countably pracompact.
- Each countably pracompact space is pseudocompact.

In these terms, a space X is compact if and only if X is countably compact and finally compact. A Tychonoff space X is pseudocompact if and only if each continuous real-valued function on X is bounded. A paratopological group G is *left (resp. right) precompact* if for each neighborhood U of the unit of G there exists a finite subset F of G such that $FU = G$ (resp. $UF = G$). A paratopological group is left precompact if and only if it is right precompact. So we shall call left precompact paratopological groups *precompact*. A sequence $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ of subsets of a space X is *non-increasing* if $U_n \supset U_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \omega$. A paratopological group G is *2-pseudocompact* if $\bigcap \overline{U_n^{-1}} \neq \emptyset$ for each non-increasing sequence $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ of nonempty open subsets of G. Clearly, each countably compact paratopological group is 2-pseudocompact. A paratopological group G is *left ω-precompact* if for each neighborhood U of the unit of G there exists a countable subset F of G such that $FU = G$. A paratopological group G is *saturated* if for each nonempty open subset U of G there exists a nonempty open subset V of G such that $V^{-1} \subset U$. Each precompact paratopological group is saturated and each saturated paratopological group is quasiregular.

Separation axioms. All topological spaces considered in the present paper are *not* supposed to satisfy any of the separation axioms, if otherwise is not stated. The definitions and relations between separations axioms both for topological spaces and paratopological groups can be found in the first section of the paper [Rav2]. Each T_0 topological group is $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$, but for paratopological groups neither of the implications

$$T_0 \Rightarrow T_1 \Rightarrow T_2 \Rightarrow T_3$$

holds (independently of the algebraic structure of the group), Mikhail Tkachenko's survey [Tka2] contains a section devoted to relations between separations axioms for paratopological groups. Some problems about these relations are still open. In paper [Tka2] are investigated axioms of separation in paratopological groups and related functors. Therefore the separation axioms play essential role in the theory of paratopological groups. In particular, these axioms essentially affect results about automatic continuity of the inversion. We recall some not very well known separation axioms which we shall use in our paper. A space X is T_1 if for every distinct points $x, y \in X$ there exists an open set $x \in U \subset X \backslash \{y\}$. A space X is T_3 if each closed set $F \subset X$ and every point $x \in X \backslash F$ have disjoint neighborhoods. A space X is *regular* if it is T_1 and T_3. A space X is *quasiregular* if each nonempty open subset A of X contains the closure of some nonempty open subset B of X. A space X is a *CB*, if X has a base consisting of *canonically open* sets, that is such sets U that $U = \operatorname{int} \overline{U}$. Each T_3 regular space is quasiregular and CB.

Suppose A is a subset of a group G. Denote by $\langle A \rangle \subset G$ the subgroup generated by the set A.

3. Basic cone topologies

In this section G is an abelian group and $S \ni 0$ is a subsemigroup of G. We restrict our attention here by abelian groups, because author's experience suggests that when we check an implication of topological properties for a paratopological groups then positive results are proved for all groups, but negative are illustrated by abelian counterexamples. In this section, we consider an interplay between the algebraic properties of the semigroup S and properties of two semigroup topologies generated by S on the group G. Finishing the section we construct Example 2 of two 2-pseudocompact paratopological groups which are not topological groups.

We need the following technical lemmas.

Lemma 1. *If there is an element $a \in S$ such that $S \subset a - S$, then S is a group.* □

Lemma 2. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *For each countable subset C of $S - S$ there is an element $a \in S$ such that $C \subset a - S$.*
2. *For each countable subset C of S there is an element $a \in S$ such that $C \subset a - S$.*
3. *For each countable infinite subset C of S there are an element $a \in S$ and an infinite subset B of C such that $B \subset a - S$.*

Proof. $(3 \Rightarrow 2)$. Let C be an arbitrary countable subset of S. If C is finite, then $C \subset (\sum_{c \in C} c) - S$. Now suppose the set C is infinite. Let $C = \{c_n : n \in \omega\}$. Put $D = \{d_n : n \in \omega\}$, where $d_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i$ for each $n \in \omega$. If the set D if finite, then $C \subset (\sum_{d \in D} d) - S$. Now suppose the set D is infinite. There are an element $a \in S$ and an infinite subset B of D such that $B \subset a - S$. Therefore for each number $i \in \omega$ there exists a number $n \in \omega$ such that $i \leq n$ and $d_n \in B$. Then $c_i \in d_n - S \in a - S - S \in a - S$.

$(2 \Rightarrow 1)$. Let $\{c_n : n \in \omega\}$ be a countable subset of the group $\overline{S}$. Fix sequences $\{a_n : n \in \omega\}$ and $\{b_n : n \in \omega\}$ of S such that $c_n = b_n - a_n$ for each n. There exist an element $a \in S$ such that $b_n \in a - S$ for each n. Then $c_n \in a - S$ for each n. □

3.1. **Cone topology.** The one-element family $\{S\}$ satisfies Pontrjagin conditions (see [Rav, Pr. 1]). Therefore there is a semigroup topology on G with the base $\{S\}$ at the zero. This topology is called the *cone topology* generated by the semigroup S on G. Denote by G_S. the group G endowed with this topology.

It is easy to check that the closure $\overline{S}$ of the semigroup S is equal to $S - S$ and $\overline{S} = \langle S \rangle$. Moreover, $\overline{S}$ is clopen.

Proposition 1. *The group G_S is T_0 if and only if $S \cap (-S) = \{0\}$.* □

Proposition 2. *The group G_S is T_1 if and only if $S = \{0\}$.* □

Lemma 3. *A subset K of G_S is compact if and only if there is a finite subset F of K such that $F + S \supset K$.* □

Lemma 4. *Let A be a subset of G_S. Then $\overline{A} = A - S$.* □

We remind that a paratopological group G is *topologically periodic* if for each $x \in G$ and a neighborhood $U \subset G$ of the unit there is a number $n \geq 1$ such that $x^n \in U$, see [BokGur].

It is easy to check the following

Proposition 3. *The group G_S is topologically periodic if and only if S is a subgroup of the group G and the quotient group G/S is periodic.* □

Proposition 4. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *The group G_S is compact*
2. *The group G_S is ω-bounded.*
3. *The group G_S is totally countably compact.*
4. *The group G_S is precompact.*
5. *The semigroup S is a subgroup of G of finite index.*

Proof. Implications $(1 \Rightarrow 4)$, $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$ and $(2 \Rightarrow 3)$ are trivial.

$(4 \Rightarrow 5)$. Choose a finite subset F of G such that $F + S = G$. Since $f + S \subset f + \overline{S}$ for every $f \in F$, $\overline{S} \subset (F \cap \overline{S}) + S$. Chose a number n and points $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n \in S$ such that $F \cap \overline{S} = \{x_1 - y_1, \ldots, x_n - y_n\}$. Then $\overline{S} = \bigcup x_i - y_i + S \subset \bigcup -y_i + S$. Put

$y = \sum y_i$. Then $\overline{S} \subset -y+S$. Therefore there is an element $z \in S$ such that $-2y = -y+z$. Then $z = -y$ and hence $-y_i \in S$ for every i. Therefore $S = S + S \supset \overline{S}$. Consequently S is a group. Since $F + S = G$, we see that the index $|G : S|$ is finite.

$(5 \Rightarrow 1)$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a cover of the group G by its open subsets. Since S is a subgroup of G of finite index, we see that there exists a finite subset F of G such that $F + S = G$. For each point $f \in F$ find set $U_f \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $f \in U_f$. Let $f \in F$ be an arbitrary point. Since the set U_f is open, $f + S \subset U_f$. Therefore $\{U_f : f \in F\}$ is a finite subcover of $\mathcal{U}$ and $\bigcup\{U_f : f \in F\} = G$.

$(3 \Rightarrow 5)$. The set $-S = \overline{\{0\}}$ is compact. Therefore there is a finite subset F of S such that $-F + S \supset -S$. Let $F = \{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$. Put $f = \sum f_i$. Then $-f + S \supset F + S \supset -S$. Moreover, $-f + S \supset -f + S + S \supset S - S$. Hence there is an element $g \in S$ such that $-2f = -f + g$. Then $g = -f$ and $-f + S \supset S$. Therefore $S = \overline{S}$. Suppose the index $|G : S|$ is infinite. Then there is a countable set $A \subset G$ that contains at most one point of each coset of the group S in G. Let B be an arbitrary infinite subset of A. The set $\overline{B} = B - S$ intersects an infinite number of the cosets. Therefore $\overline{B} \not\subseteq F + S$ for each finite subset F of G. This contradiction proves that the index $|G : S|$ is finite. □

Proposition 5. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *The group G_S is sequentially compact.*
2. *The group G_S is countably compact.*
3. *The group G_S is 2-pseudocompact.*
4. *The index $|G : \overline{S}|$ is finite and for each countable subset C of S there is an element $a \in S$ such that $C \subset a - S$.*

Moreover, in this case each power of the space G_S is countably compact.

Proof. Implications $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$ and $(2 \Rightarrow 3)$ are trivial.

$(3 \Rightarrow 4)$. Let C be an arbitrary countable infinite subset of S. Let $\{x_n : n \in \omega\}$ be an enumeration of elements of the set C. For each $n \in \omega$ put $U_n = \bigcup_{i \geq n} x_i + S$. Then $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a non-increasing sequence of nonempty open subsets of G_S. Since the group G_S is 2-pseudocompact then there is a point $b \in G$ such that $(b+S) \cap -U_n \neq \emptyset$ for each $n \in \omega$. Then for each $n \in \omega$ there is a number $i \geq n$ such that $(b+S) \cap -(x_i - S) \neq \emptyset$ and hence $-x_i \in b + S$. Let B be the set of all such elements x_i. Then B is an infinite subset of $-b - S$. Since $B \subset S$, we see that $-b \in B + S \subset S$. Now Lemma 2 implies that for each countable subset C of S there is an element $a \in S$ such that $C \subset a - S$.

Suppose the index $|G : \overline{S}|$ is infinite. Then there is a countable infinite set $C \subset G$ that contains at most one point of each coset of the group $\overline{S}$ in G. Let $\{x_n : n \in \omega\}$ be an enumeration of the elements of the set C. For each $n \in \omega$ put $U_n = \bigcup_{i \geq n} x_i + S$. Then $\{U_n\}$ is a non-increasing sequence of nonempty open subsets of G_S. But for each point $x \in G$ an open set $x + \overline{S}$ intersects only finitely many sets of the family $\{-U_n : n \in \omega\}$. This contradiction proves that the index $|G : S|$ is finite.

$(4 \Rightarrow 1)$. Let $\{c_n : n \in \omega\}$ be a sequence of elements of the group G_S. There is a point $x \in G$ such that the set $I = \{n \in \omega : c_n \in x + \overline{S}\}$ is infinite. By Lemma 2, there is a point $a \in S$ such that $x - c_n \in a - S$ for each $n \in I$. Thus the sequence $\{c_n : n \in I\}$ converges to the point $x - a$.

Now let κ be an arbitrary cardinal. Condition 1 and Lemma 2 imply that for each countable subset C of $\overline{S}^\kappa$ there is a point $a \in S^\kappa$ such that $C \subset a - S^\kappa$. Consequently, the space $\overline{S}^\kappa$ is sequentially compact. Since $\overline{S}$ is a clopen subgroup of G_S, we see that the

space G_S is homeomorphic to $\overline{S} \times D$, where D is a finite discrete space. Then a space G_S^κ is homeomorphic to the countably compact space $\overline{S}^\kappa \times D^\kappa$. □

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 5. *If X is a countably pracompact space and Y is a compact space then the space $X \times Y$ is countably pracompact.* □

Proposition 6. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *The group G_S is countably pracompact.*
2. *The group G_S is pseudocompact.*
3. *The index $|G : \overline{S}|$ is finite.*

Moreover, in this case each power of the space G_S is countably pracompact.

Proof. Implication $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$ is trivial.

$(2 \Rightarrow 3)$. Suppose the index $|G : \overline{S}|$ is infinite. Then there is a countable infinite set $C \subset G$ that contains at most one point of each coset of the group $\overline{S}$ in G. Let $\{x_n : n \in \omega\}$ be an enumeration of the elements of the set C. For each $n \in \omega$ put $U_n = \bigcup_{i \geq n} x_i + S$. Then $\{U_n\}$ is a non-increasing sequence of nonempty open subsets of G_S. But for each point $x \in G$ an open set $x + \overline{S}$ intersects only finitely many sets of the family $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$. This contradiction proves that the index $|G : \overline{S}|$ is finite.

$(3 \Rightarrow 1)$. Choose a finite set $A_0 \subset G$ such that the intersection of A_0 with each coset of the group $\overline{S}$ in G is a singleton. Put $A = A_0 + S$. Let $x \in G$ be an arbitrary point. Then there is an element $a \in A_0$ such that $x \in a + \overline{S}$. Hence $(x + S) \cap (a + S) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $(x + S) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Thus A is dense in G_S.

Let C be an arbitrary countable infinite subset of A. There is a point $a \in A_0$ such that the set $B = C \cap (a + \overline{S})$ is infinite. But since $C \subset A_0 + S$, we see that $B \subset a + S$. Therefore a is an accumulation point of the set C.

Now let κ be an arbitrary cardinal. Since the set S is dense in $\overline{S}$, we see that the set S^κ is dense in $\overline{S}^\kappa$. Since each neighborhood of the zero of the group $\overline{S}^\kappa$ contains the set S^κ, the space $\overline{S}^\kappa$ is countably compact at S^κ. Hence the space $\overline{S}^k$ is countably pracompact. Since $\overline{S}$ is a clopen subgroup of G_S, the space G_S is homeomorphic to $\overline{S} \times D$, where D is a finite discrete space. Then a space G_S^κ is homeomorphic to $\overline{S}^\kappa \times D^\kappa$. By Lemma 5, the space $\overline{S}^\kappa \times D^\kappa$ is countably pracompact. □

Proposition 7. *The group G_S is finally compact if and only if G_S is left ω-precompact.* □

3.2. **Cone* topology.** The family $\mathcal{B}_S = \{\{0\} \cup (x + S) : x \in S\}$ satisfies Pontrjagin conditions (see [Rav, Pr. 1]). Therefore there is a semigroup topology on G with the base $\mathcal{B}_S$ at the zero. This topology is called the *a cone* topology* generated by the semigroup S and on G. Denote by G_S^*. the group G endowed with this topology.

It is easy to check that the closure $\overline{S}$ of the semigroup S is equal to $S - S$ and $\overline{S} = \langle S \rangle$. Moreover, $\overline{S}$ is clopen.

Proposition 8. *The group G_S^* is Hausdorff if and only if $S = \{0\}$.* □

It is easy to check the following

Proposition 9. *The group G_S^* is topologically periodic if and only if S is a subgroup of the group G and the quotient group G/S is periodic.* □

Proposition 10. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *The group G^*_S is T_1.*
2. *The group G^*_S is T_0.*
3. *$S = \{0\}$ or S is not a group.*
4. *$S = \{0\}$ or $\bigcap\{x + S : x \in S\} = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Implications $(1 \Rightarrow 4)$, $(4 \Rightarrow 2)$, and $(2 \Rightarrow 3)$ are trivial. We show that $(3 \Rightarrow 1)$. Suppose there is a point $y \in \bigcap\{x + S : x \in S\}$. Then $y \in 2y + S$. Hence there is an element $z \in S$ such that $y = 2y + z$. Therefore $-y = z \in S$. Now let x be an arbitrary element of S. There is an element $s \in S$ such that $y = x+s$. Then $-x = -(x+s)+s \in S$. Therefore S is a group. Thus $y = 0$. □

Proposition 11. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *The group G^*_S is compact.*
2. *The group G^*_S is ω-bounded.*
3. *The group G^*_S is totally countably compact.*
4. *The group G^*_S is sequentially compact.*
5. *The group G^*_S is countably compact.*
6. *The group G^*_S is precompact.*
7. *The semigroup S is a subgroup of G of finite index.*

Proof. Implications $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$, $(2 \Rightarrow 3)$, $(3 \Rightarrow 5)$, $(4 \Rightarrow 5)$ and $(1 \Rightarrow 6)$ are trivial. From (7) follows that $G^*_S = G_S$. Therefore by Proposition 4, $(7 \Rightarrow 1)$. From (6) follows that the group G_S is precompact. Therefore by Proposition 4, $(6 \Rightarrow 7)$.

$(7 \Rightarrow 4)$. Let $\{c_n : n \in \omega\}$ be a sequence of elements of the group G^*_S. There is a point $x \in G$ such that the set $I = \{n \in \omega : c_n \in x + S\}$ is infinite. Then a subsequence $\{c_n : n \in I\}$ of the sequence $\{c_n : n \in \omega\}$ converges to the point x.

Finally we prove Implication $(5 \Rightarrow 7)$. Suppose (5). Let $x \in S$ be an arbitrary element. We claim that there is $n \geq 1$ such that $-nx \in S$. Assume the converse. Then the set $X = \{-nx : n \geq 1\}$ is infinite. There is a point $b \in G$ such that the set $(b + s + S) \cap X$ is infinite for each $s \in S$. Since $X \subset \overline{S}$, we see that $b \in \overline{S}$. Therefore there are elements $y, z \in S$ such that $b = y - z$. But since $S \supset (y - z) + z + S$, the set $S \cap X$ is infinite too. This contradiction proves that there is $n \geq 1$ such that $-nx \in S$. Therefore S is a group. By Proposition 5, the index $|G : S|$ is finite. □

Proposition 12. *The group G^*_S is countably pracompact if and only if the index $|G : \overline{S}|$ is finite and there is a countable subset C of S such that $S \subset C - S$.*

Moreover, in this case each power of the space G^*_S is countably pracompact.

Proof. The necessity. Since the group G_S is countably pracompact, by Proposition 6, the index $|G : \overline{S}|$ is finite. Let A be a dense subset of $\overline{S}$ such that the space $\overline{S}$ is countably compact at A. Let B be an arbitrary countable infinite subset of A. There are elements $x, y \in S$ such that the set $(x - y + s + S) \cap B$ is infinite for each $s \in S$. Since $s + S \supset (x - y) + y + s + S$, we see that the set $(s + S) \cap B$ is infinite for each $s \in S$. This condition holds for each countable infinite subset B of A. Therefore the set $A \backslash (s + S)$ is finite for each $s \in S$. Since $A \subset S - S$, for each element $a \in A$ there is an element $s_a \in S$ such that $a + s_a \in S$. Put $A' = \{a + s_a : a \in A\}$. Then the set $A' \backslash (s + S)$ is finite for each $s \in S$.

Suppose the set A' is finite. Put $C = A'$. Since A is dense in $\overline{S}$, we see that A' is dense in $\overline{S}$ too. Let $s \in S$ be an arbitrary point. Then the set $(s+S) \cap C$ is nonempty. Therefore $s \in C - S$.

Suppose the set A' is infinite. Let C be an arbitrary countable infinite subset of A'. Let $s \in S$ be an arbitrary point. There is a point $c \in (s+S) \cap C$. Therefore $s \in C - S$.

The sufficiency. Let $C = \{c_n : n \in \omega\}$. Put $C' = \{\sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i : n \in \omega\}$. Then for each element $s \in S$ and each infinite subset B' of C' there is a finite subset F of B' such that $c - S \ni s$ for each $c \in B' \setminus F$.

Choose a finite set $A_0 \subset G$ such that the intersection of A_0 with each coset of the group $\overline{S}$ in G is a singleton. Put $A = A_0 + C'$. Let $x \in G$ be an arbitrary point. Then there is an element $a \in A_0$ such that $x \in a + \overline{S}$. Therefore there are elements $s, s' \in S$ such that $x - a = s - s'$. There is an element $c \in C'$ such that $s \in c - S$. Then $a + c = x + s' - s + c \in x + s' + s - c + c \subset x + S$. Hence A is dense in G_S^*.

Let A' be an arbitrary countable infinite subset of A. There is a point $a \in A_0$ such that the set $B' = A' \cap (a + \overline{S})$ is infinite. Let $s \in S$ be an arbitrary element. Since $B' - a$ is an infinite subset of C', the set $(s+S) \cap (B' - a)$ in infinite. Then the set $(a + s + S) \cap B'$ is infinite too. Therefore a is an accumulation point of the set $B' \subset A'$.

Let κ be an arbitrary cardinal. Put $A = \{0\} \cup C'$. Then A is compact and dense in $\overline{S}$. Therefore A^κ is compact and dense in $\overline{S}^\kappa$. Hence the space $\overline{S}^\kappa$ is countably compact at its dense subset. Consequently $\overline{S}^\kappa$ is countably pracompact. Since $\overline{S}$ is a clopen subgroup of G_S^*, we see that the space G_S^* is homeomorphic to $\overline{S} \times D$, where D is a finite discrete space. Then a space $G_S^{*\kappa}$ is homeomorphic to $\overline{S}^\kappa \times D^\kappa$. by Lemma 5, the space $\overline{S}^\kappa \times D^\kappa$ is countably pracompact. □

Proposition 13. *The group G_S^* is 2-pseudocompact if and only if the index $|G : \overline{S}|$ is finite and for each countable subset C of S there is an element $a \in S$ such that $C \subset a - S$.*

Moreover, in this case each finite power of the group G_S^* is 2-pseudocompact and each power of the group $\overline{S}$ is 2-pseudocompact.

Proof. The necessity. If the group G_S^* is 2-pseudocompact then the group G_S is 2-pseudocompact too. Thus Proposition 5 implies the necessity.

The sufficiency. Let $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ be a non-increasing sequence of nonempty open subsets of G. For each n choose a point $z_n \in U_n$ such that $z_n + S \subset U_n$. Since the index $|G : \overline{S}|$ if finite, there are an infinite subset I of ω and an element $z \in G$ such that $z_n \in z + \overline{S}$ for each $n \in I$. For each $n \in I$ fix elements $x_n, y_n \in S$ such that $z_n - z = x_n - y_n$. There is an element $a \in S$ such that $x_n \in a - S$ for each $n \in I$. Let $n \in I$ be an arbitrary number. Then $z_n - z = x_n - y_n \in a - S$. Therefore $U_n \ni z_n + S \ni a + z$. Since the family $\{U_n\}$ is non-increasing, we see that $a + z \in \bigcap\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$.

Now let κ be an arbitrary cardinal. Let $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ be an arbitrary non-increasing sequence of nonempty open subsets of $\overline{S}^\kappa$. For each n choose a point $z_n \in U_n$ such that $z_n + S^\kappa \subset U_n$ and fix elements $x_n, y_n \in S^\kappa$ such that $z_n = x_n - y_n$. There is an element $a \in S^\kappa$ such that $x_n \in a - S^\kappa$ for each $n \in \omega$. Thus $U_n \ni z_n + S^\kappa \ni a$. □

Corollary 1. *The group G_S^* is compact if and only if the group G_S^* is countably pracompact and 2-pseudocompact.*

Proof. The proof is implied from Proposition 13, Proposition 12, and Lemma 1. □

Proposition 14. *The group G_S^* is pseudocompact if and only if the index $|G : \overline{S}|$ is finite.*

Proof. The necessity. If the group G_S^* is pseudocompact then the group G_S is pseudocompact too. Thus Proposition 6 implies the necessity.

The sufficiency. Let $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ be a family of nonempty open subsets of G. For each n choose a point $x_n \in U_n$ such that $x_n + S \subset U_n$. Since the index $|G : \overline{S}|$ if finite, there are a point $b \in G$ and an infinite set $I \subset \omega$ such that $x_n \in b + \overline{S}$ for each $n \in I$. Let $n \in I$ be an arbitrary number. Then $(x_n + S) \cap (b + s + S) \neq \emptyset$ for each $s \in S$. Therefore $b \in \overline{x_n + S} \subset \overline{U_n}$. Hence the family $\{U_n\}$ is not locally finite. Thus the space G_S^* is pseudocompact. □

The counterpart of Proposition 7 does not hold for the group G_S^*.

Example 1. *There are an abelian group G and a subsemigroup S of the group G such that G_S^* is ω-precompact and not finally compact.*

Proof. Let $G = \mathbb{R}$ and $S = [0, \infty)$. Then G_S^* is ω-precompact. Consider an open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{\{x\} \cup S : x < 0\}$ of the group G_S^*. Then $\mathcal{U}$ has no subcover of cardinality less than $\mathfrak{c}$. By Proposition 12, the group G_S^* is countably pracompact. By Proposition 13, the group G_S^* is not 2-pseudocompact. □

Proposition 15. *If the group G_S^* is Baire then S is a group or there is no countable subset C of S such that $S \subset C - S$.*

Proof. Suppose that there is a countable subset C of S such that $S \subset C - S$. Then $\overline{S} \subset \bigcup_{c \in C} c - S$. Since the set $-S$ is closed in G_S^*, there are elements $c \in S$ and $x \in \overline{S}$ such that $c - S \supset x + S$. It follows easily that $-S \supset S$. Thus S is a group. □

Corollary 2. *The countably pracompact group G_S^* is Baire if and only if S is a group.* □

Corollary 3. *The countable group G_S^* is 2-pseudocompact if and only if the semigroup S is a subgroup of G of finite index.*

Proof. If the semigroup S is a subgroup of G of finite index then from Proposition 11 it follows that G_S^* is compact. If the group G_S^* is 2-pseudocompact then Lemma 5 from [Rav6] implies that G_S^* is Baire. By Proposition 15, S is a group. Proposition 13 implies that the index $|G : S| = |G : \overline{S}|$ is finite. □

A space X is called *a P-space* if every G_δ subset of X is open.

Proposition 16. *The group G_S^* is a P-space if and only if for each countable subset C of S there is an element $a \in S$ such that $C \subset a - S$.*

Proof. The necessity. Let C be an arbitrary countable subset of G. Then the set $\{0\} \cup \bigcap\{c + S : c \in C\}$ is a neighborhood of the zero. Therefore there is a point $b \in S$ such that $b + S \subset \{0\} \cup \bigcap\{c + S : c \in C\}$. If $b \neq 0$ then $C \subset b - S$. Suppose $b = 0$. If $S = \{0\}$ then $C \subset S = 0 - S$. If there is an element $a \in S \backslash \{0\}$ then $a \in \bigcap\{c + S : c \in C\}$ and $C \subset a - S$.

The sufficiency. Let $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ be an arbitrary sequence of nonempty open subsets of G, $U = \bigcap\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ and $x \in U$. For each n choose a point $c_n \in S$ such that $x + (\{0\} \cup (c_n + S)) \subset U_n$. There exists an element $a \in S$ such that $\{c_n : n \in \omega\} \subset a - S$. Then $a + S \subset c_n + S$ for each $n \in \omega$. Hence $x + (\{0\} \cup (a + S)) \subset U$. Thus the set U is open. □

Corollary 4. *The group G_S^* is 2-pseudocompact if and only if the group G_S^* is a pseudocompact P-space.*

Proof. The proof is implied from Proposition 13, Proposition 14, and Proposition 16. □

Corollary 5. *The group G_S^* is compact if and only if the group G_S^* is a countably pracompact P-space.*

Proof. The proof is implied from Corollary 1 and Corollary 4. □

Example 2. *There are an abelian group G and a subsemigroup S of the group G such that the paratopological group G_S is T_0 sequentially compact, not totally countably compact, not precompact, and not a topological group and the paratopological group G_S^* is T_1 2-pseudocompact, not countably pracompact, not precompact and not a topological group.*

Proof. Let $G = \bigoplus\limits_{\alpha\in\omega_1} \mathbb{Z}$ be the direct sum of the groups $\mathbb{Z}$. Let

$$S = \{0\} \cup \{(x_\alpha) \in G : (\exists \beta \in \omega_1)((\forall \alpha > \beta)(x_\alpha = 0)\&(x_\beta > 0))\}.$$

Since $S \cap (-S) = \{0\}$ then by Proposition 1, the group G_S is T_0 and by Proposition 10, the group G_S^* is T_1. Since $G = S - S$ and for each countable infinite subset C of S there is an element $a \in S$ such that $C \subset a - S$, we see that by Proposition 5, the group G_S is sequentially compact and by Proposition 13, the group G_S^* is 2-pseudocompact. By Proposition 12, the group G_S^* is not countably pracompact. By Proposition 4, the group G_S is not totally countably compact and not precompact. Therefore the group G_S^* is not precompact too. □

In [San], Iván Sánchez proved that the group G_S^* from Example 2 is a P-space.

From Proposition 3 in [RavRez] it follows that each T_1 paratopological group G such that $G \times G$ is countably compact is a topological group. Taras Banakh constructed an example (see, [Rav6, Ex.1]), which shows that in general this proposition cannot be generalized for T_1 countably pracompact groups. Example 2 shows that the proposition cannot be generalized for T_0 sequentially compact groups.

4. Cowide topologies

Topologies τ and σ on the set X we shall call *cowide* provided for each nonempty sets $U \in \tau$ and $V \in \sigma$ an intersection $U \cap V$ is nonempty too. If a topology σ is cowide to itself then we shall call the topology σ *wide*.

For two topologies τ and σ on the set X by $\tau \vee \sigma$ we denote the supremum of the topologies τ and σ, which has a base $\{U \cap V : U \in \tau, V \in \sigma\}$.

Lemma 6. *Let τ and σ be cowide topologies on the set X. Then $\overline{W}^{\tau\vee\sigma} = \overline{W}^{\tau}$ for each set $W \in \tau$. Moreover, if the topology σ is wide, then $\overline{W}^{\tau\vee\sigma} = \overline{W}^{\tau}$ for each set $W \in \tau \vee \sigma$.*

Proof. The inclusion $\tau \vee \sigma \supset \tau$ implies the inclusion $\overline{W}^{\tau\vee\sigma} \subset \overline{W}^{\tau}$.

Suppose that $W \in \tau$, $x \in \overline{W}^{\tau}$ and $U \cap V$ is an arbitrary neighborhood of the point x such that $U \in \tau$ and $V \in \sigma$. Then $W \cap U$ is a nonempty set from the topology τ. Since the topologies τ and σ are cowide, the intersection $W \cap U \cap V$ is nonempty. Therefore $x \in \overline{W}^{\tau\vee\sigma}$.

Suppose that $W \in \tau \vee \sigma$, $x \in \overline{W}^{\tau}$ and $U \cap V$ is an arbitrary neighborhood of the point x such that $U \in \tau$ and $V \in \sigma$. Then $W \cap U$ is a nonempty set from the topology $\tau \vee \sigma$. Therefore there exist sets $U' \in \tau$ and $V' \in \sigma$ such that $\emptyset \neq U' \cap V' \subset W \cap U$. Since the topology σ is wide, the intersection $V \cap V'$ is nonempty. Since the topologies τ and σ are cowide, the intersection $U' \cap V \cap V' \subset W \cap U \cap V$ is nonempty. Therefore $x \in \overline{W}^{\tau\vee\sigma}$. □

Regularization. Given a topological space (X,τ) Stone [Sto] and Katetov [Kat] consider the topology τ_r on X generated by the base consisting of all canonically open sets of the space (X,τ). This topology is called the *regularization* or *semiregularization* of the topology τ. If (X,τ) is a paratopological group then (X,τ_r) is a T_3 paratopological group [Rav2, Ex. 1.9], [Rav3, p. 31], and [Rav3, p. 28].

Lemma 7. *Let τ and σ be cowide topologies on the set X and the topology σ is wide. Then $(\tau\vee\sigma)_r=\tau_r$.*

Proof. The inclusion $\tau\vee\sigma\supset\tau$ implies the inclusion $(\tau\vee\sigma)_r\supset\tau_r$. So we show the opposite inclusion. Let $W=\operatorname{int}_{\tau\vee\sigma}\overline{W}^{\tau\vee\sigma}\in\tau\vee\sigma$ be an arbitrary canonical open set. Lemma 6 implies that $\overline{W}^{\tau\vee\sigma}=\overline{W}^{\tau}$. The inclusion $\tau\vee\sigma\supset\tau$ implies the inclusion $\operatorname{int}_{\tau\vee\sigma}\overline{W}^{\tau}\supset\operatorname{int}_{\tau}\overline{W}^{\tau}$. So we show the opposite inclusion. Let $x\in\operatorname{int}_{\tau\vee\sigma}\overline{W}^{\tau}$ be an arbitrary point. Therefore there exist sets $U'\in\tau$ and $V'\in\sigma$ such that $x\in U'\cap V'\subset\overline{W}^{\tau}$. Then $\overline{U'\cap V'}^{\tau}\subset\overline{W}^{\tau}$. Since the topologies τ and σ are cowide, the set V' is τ-dense in the set U'. Therefore $\overline{U'\cap V'}^{\tau}=\overline{U'}^{\tau}$. Hence $x\in U'\subset\overline{U'}^{\tau}\subset\overline{W}^{\tau}$. Thus $x\in\operatorname{int}_{\tau}\overline{W}^{\tau}$. □

Pseudocompactness.

Lemma 8. [Rav6] *Let (X,τ) be a topological space. Then (X,τ) is pseudocompact if and only if the regularization (X,τ_r) is pseudocompact.* □

Lemmas 7 and 8 imply the following

Proposition 17. *Let τ and σ be cowide topologies on the set X such that the space (X,τ) is pseudocompact and the topology σ is wide. Then the space $(X,\tau\vee\sigma)$ is pseudocompact too.*

H-closedness. A Hausdorff space X is called *H-closed* if X is a closed subspace of every Hausdorff space in which it is contained [AleUry1], [AleUry2]. It is well known (see, for instance, [Eng, Ex. 3.12.5 (a)]), that a Hausdorff space X is H-closed if and only if for each open cover $\{U_\alpha:\alpha\in A\}$ of the space X there exists a finite subset F of A such that $X=\bigcup_{\alpha\in F}\overline{U_\alpha}$. It is easy to check, using this criterion, that a Hausdorff space (X,τ) is H-closed is if and only if the space (X,τ_r) is H-closed. Then Lemma 7 imply the following

Proposition 18. *Let τ and σ be cowide topologies on the set X such that the space (X,τ) is H-closed and the topology σ is wide. Then the space $(X,\tau\vee\sigma)$ is H-closed too.*

Proposition 19. *A paratopological group (G,τ) is an H-closed space if and only if the regularization (G,τ_r) is Hausdorff and compact.*

Proof. The group (G,τ) is an H-closed space if and only if the regularization (G,τ_r) is an H-closed space. By [Rav2, Ex. 1.9], [Rav3, p. 31], and [Rav3, p. 28] (G,τ_r) is a regular paratopological group. By [Eng, Ex. 3.12.5 (a)], a regular H-closed space is Hausdorff and compact. □

Lemma 9. [RavRez] *Suppose (G,τ) is a quasiregular paratopological group such that (G,τ_r) is a topological group; then (G,τ) is a topological group.*

Proposition 20. *For a quasiregular Hausdorff paratopological group (G,τ) the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *The space (G,τ) is H-closed.*
2. *The space (G,τ_r) is H-closed.*
3. *The space (G,τ) is compact.*
4. *The space (G,τ_r) is compact.*

Proof. (4⇒3) By [Rav6, Pr.3] a quasiregular paratopological group (G,τ_r) is topological. Then by Lemma 9 the group (G,τ) is topological too. Therefore the space (G,τ) is regular and hence $\tau_r=\tau$ and the space (G,τ) is compact.

Implications (3⇒1) and (1⇒2) are obvious.

(2⇒4) It follows from Proposition 19. □

Application to cone topologies. Let (G,τ) be an abelian paratopological group and $S\ni 0$ be a subsemigroup of the group G. It is easy to check that the cone topology τ_S defined at the beginning of the paper coincides with the supremum of topologies of the spaces (G,τ) and G_S. Similarly, let cone* topology τ_S^* be the superemum of topologies of the spaces (G,τ) and G_S^*. It is clear that the group G endowed with the topology τ_S^* is a paratopological group.

Proposition 21. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *The topology of the space G_S is wide.*
2. *The topology of the space G_S^* is wide.*
3. *$G=S-S$.*

Proof. Since the topology of the space G_S is coarser than the topology of the space G_S^*, we have implication (2⇒1).

(1⇒3) Let $x\in G$ be an arbitrary element. Since an intersection of open subsets S and $x+S$ of the group G_S is non-empty, we see that $x\in S-S$.

(3⇒2) Each non-empty open subset of the group G_S^* contains a set $x+S$ for some element $x\in G$. Let U_1, U_2 be arbitrary non-empty open subsets of the group G_S^*. Then there are elements $x_1,x_2\in G$ such that $x_1+S\subset U_1$ and $x_2+S\subset U_2$. Since $G=S-S$, there are elements $y_1,y_2,z_1,z_2\in S$ such that $x_1=y_1-z_1$ and $x_2=y_2-z_2$. Then a set $(x_1+S)\cap(x_2+S)$ contains an element y_1+y_2. □

Proposition 22. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *The topology of the space G_S and the topology τ are cowide.*
2. *The topology of the space G_S^* and the topology τ are cowide.*
3. *S is a dense subsemigroup of the group (G,τ).*

Proof. Since the topology of the space G_S is coarser than the topology of the space G_S^*, we have implication (2⇒1).

Implication (1⇒3) follows from opennes of the set S is the paratopological group G_*^S.

Implication (3⇒2) follows from the fact that each non-empty open subset of the group G_S^* contains a dense in the space (G,τ) set $x+S$ for some element $x\in G$. □

Proposition 23. *Let $S\ni 0$ be a dense subgroup of an abelian paratopological group (G,τ) such that $G=S-S$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *The group (G,τ) is pseudocompact.*
2. *The group (G,τ_S) is pseudocompact.*
3. *The group (G,τ_S^*) is pseudocompact.*

Proof. Implications (3⇒2) and (2⇒1) are obvious. Implication (1⇒3) follows from Proposition 22, Proposition 21, and Proposition 17. □

Proposition 24. *Let $S\ni 0$ be a dense subgroup of an abelian paratopological group (G,τ) such that $G=S-S$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *The group (G,τ) is an H-closed space.*

2. *The group (G, τ_S) is an H-closed space.*
3. *The group (G, τ_S^*) is an H-closed space.*

Proof. Implications (3⇒2) and (2⇒1) are obvious. Implication (1⇒3) follows from Proposition 22, Proposition 21, and Proposition 18. □

5. Acknowledgements

The author thanks to Taras Banakh who turned his attention to the class of countably pracompact spaces, intermediate between the classes of countably compact and pseudocompact spaces. Also the author thanks to Minnan Normal University (Fujian, China) for the hospitality and possibility to give lectures about the present paper.

References

[AlaSan] Ofelia T. Alas, Manuel Sanchis, *Countably Compact Paratopological Groups*, Semigroup Forum, **74** (2007), 423–438.

[AleUry1] Paul Alexandroff, Paul Urysohn, *Sur les espaces topologiques compacts*, Bull. Intern. Acad. Pol. Sci. Sér. A (1923), 5–8.

[AleUry2] Paul Alexandroff, Paul Urysohn, *Mémoire sur les espaces topologiques compacts*, Vehr. Akad. Wetensch. Amsterdam **14** (1929), 1–93.

[ArhTka] Alexander V. Arhangel'skii, Mikhail Tkachenko, *Topological groups and related structures*, Atlantis Press, Paris; World Sci. Publ., NJ, 2008.

[Ban] Taras O. Banakh, *On cardinal invariants and metrizability of topological inverse Clifford semigroups*, Topology Appl. **128**:1 (2003), 13–48.

[Ban2] B. Banashewski, *Minimal topological algebras*, Math. Ann, **211** (1974), 107–114.

[BanRav] Taras O. Banakh, Alex V. Ravsky, *The regularity of quotient paratopological groups*. http://arxiv.org/abs/1003.5409

[BokGur] Bogdan M. Bokalo, Igor Y. Guran, *Sequentially compact Hausdorff cancellative semigroup is a topological group*, Matematychni Studii **6** (1996), 39–40.

[Eng] Ryszard Engelking, *General topology*, Moscow, 1986. (in Russian)

[Kat] M. Katětov. *On H-closed extensions of topological spaces*, Časopis Pěst. Mat. Fys. **72** (1947), 17–32.

[Rav] Alex V. Ravsky, *Paratopological groups I*, Matematychni Studii **16**, No. 1 (2001), 37–48. http://matstud.org.ua/texts/2001/16_1/37_48.pdf

[Rav2] Alex V. Ravsky, *Paratopological groups II*, Matematychni Studii **17**, No. 1 (2002), 93–101. http://matstud.org.ua/texts/2002/17_1/93_101.pdf

[Rav3] Alex V. Ravsky, *The topological and algebraical properties of paratopological groups*, Ph.D. Thesis. – Lviv University, 2002 (in Ukrainian).

[Rav6] Alex V. Ravsky, *Pseudocompact paratopological groups that are topological*. http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.2001

[Rav7] Alex V. Ravsky, *Pseudocompact Paratopological Groups*. http://arxiv.org/abs/1003.5343

[RavRez] Alex V. Ravsky, E. Reznichenko, *The continuity of inverse in groups*, Banach International Conference on Functional Analysis and Applications, Lviv, 2002, 170-172.

[San] Iván Sánchez. *Subgroups of products of paratopological groups*, Topology Appl. **163**:1 (2014), 160–173.

[Sto] M. H. Stone. *Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **41** (1937), 375–481.

[Tod] S. Todorcevic, *Partition Problems in Topology,* Contemp. Math. **84**. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.

[Tka2] M. Tkachenko, *Semitopological and paratopological groups vs topological groups*, In: Recent Progress in General Topology III (K.P. Hart, J. van Mill, P. Simon, eds.), 2013, 803–859.

[Tka3] M. Tkachenko, *Axioms of separation in semitopological groups and related functors*, Topology Appl. **161**, (2014), 364–376.

E-mail address: oravsky@mail.ru

Department of Functional Analysis, Pidstryhach Institute for Applied Problems of Mechanics and Mathematics National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Naukova 2-b, Lviv, 79060, Ukraine